UNITED STATES DI EASTERN DISTRICT			
MICHAEL SMITH,		X	
	Plaintiff,	ORDER	
-against-		CV 10-4874 (M	KB)(ETB)
COUNTY OF NASSA	AU, et al.,		
	Defendants.	v	

Pursuant to a follow-up conference held on July 10, 2013 - addressing issues unresolved at the telephone conference held on July 8, 2013 (see Order dated July 8, 2013) - the following additional rulings apply:

- 1. With respect to the other "similarly withheld and/or redacted items" that the County agreed "to reevaluate" (see Order dated July 8, 2013) based on the plaintiff's Monell theory of liability in this action, the County is directed to produce these documents without redaction as to the name and any contact information of the complainant or any witness(es) therein identified. It is understood that these documents will be produced within fourteen (14) days and that the identity of non-targeted police officers may be redacted, without prejudice to any further application for production and/or a protective order.
- 2. The County is directed to produce for <u>in camera</u> inspection, and the Court has received today, the items designated as Numbers 1, 3 and 8 on the County's privilege log. Pursuant to the conference today, any other documents are no

longer at issue in plaintiff's request for production.

## **SO ORDERED:**

Dated: Central Islip, New York July 10, 2013

/s/ E. Thomas Boyle E. THOMAS BOYLE

United States Magistrate Judge